STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF BROOME

Broome County Industrial

Development Agency

Public Hearing Regarding

Upstate SK LLC

Proceedings held on Tuesday, the 2nd day of July, 2013, commencing at 7:02 p.m.

BEFORE: Ruth I. Lynch

Registered Professional Reporter

Registered Merit Reporter

NY/PA Notary Public 28874 State Route 171 Susquehanna, PA 18847

(570) 396-1459

MR. MEAGHER: Good evening, ladies and 1 2 gentlemen. My name is Joseph Meagher, and I'm 3 counsel to the Broome County Industrial Development Agency. 4 This evening we're conducting a hearing 5 6 pursuant to General Municipal Law Section 859A, 7 the purpose of which is to seek comment from the public on an application for financial 8 assistance that has been submitted by 9 10 Upstate SK LLC, Sheedy Road, LLC, and Juneberry 11 Road, LLC, in connection with a proposed 12 148,400-square-foot multi-use senior long-term 13 care campus to be used as three New York State 14 Department of Health licensed health-related 15 facilities: 16 One, a hundred-and-sixty-bed skilled 17 nursing facility consisting of approximately 18 119,250 square feet; 19 Two, a 40-bed assisted living program 20 facility consisting of approximately 24,978 21 square feet; 22 And, three, a 31 registrant adult care

health program facility consisting of

Each with separate public entrances,

approximately 4,168 square feet;

23

24

parking lots, an additional loading zone for two tractor trailers, stormwater management, and other ancillary facilities, located on a 19.04-acre site at 1501 Route 26 South in the Town of Vestal, County of Broome and State of New York.

The acceptance of the filing of this application by this agency does not infer any position on the approval or disapproval of the financial assistance requested. No position will be taken by the Agency until this public hearing is concluded and the results thereof are reported to the Board of Directors.

A copy of the application, along with the cost benefit analysis prepared by the Agency, is available for your review.

Notice of this hearing was published in the Press and Sun-Bulletin on June 20th, 2013.

I request that each person wishing to speak state his or her name, and if you are speaking on behalf of an entity or an organization please identify that entity or organization. The hearing will remain open until all public comment is concluded.

You'll notice off to my right is a

court reporter who's taking down everything that is said. That will be put together in a transcript that will be provided to the Board of Directors for their review.

At this time I'm going to ask Richard D'Attilio, the Executive Director of the IDA, to explain the tax benefits that have been requested by the applicant in this case.

MR. D'ATTILIO: First let me say this is a relocation and reconstruction and an expansion of what we all know as the old Vestal Nursing Home on Old Vestal Road.

AN ATTENDEE: Is it possible to get a mic on him?

MR. D'ATTILIO: I've got a frog in my throat.

AN ATTENDEE: Can you come up closer?

MR. D'ATTILIO: Again, this is -- this
is -- this facility, as we all know, has been
hit twice; once in 2006 where it was severely
damaged, and significant reinvestment was put
into that facility; and most recently in 2011
where it was devastated beyond any repair
whatsoever. The investors and owners of the
former nursing home from my perspective should

be commended as outside investors in the community. They are willing to re -- re-invest in this community, not only to rebuild what they had but also to rebuild something much more expanded in terms of its scope.

You may not know it but the building is a skilled nursing facility that also will be providing adult day care, as well as assisted living. I got those a little bit backwards, but it's basically a three-tier project that would allow folks to basically move from one, one level of care to the skilled level of care.

The primary benefits that the Agency is basically offering at this particular point in time is being discussed with the developer.

There are no special incentives being provided.

I should state that the incentives that -- that have been requested of us are a standard payment annuity tax program. In some cases large projects of this nature come to us and through the working with the Town negotiating special incentives. In this case it's strictly a standard boilerplate, if you will, payment annuity tax program.

First let me say that we've been

provided information by the developer in order to be able to do the cost benefit analysis. We are -- we expect this project to look at about \$33.7 million in investment. They've advised us that there will be a hundred and fifteen new jobs created. And they will retain another hundred and thirty-five jobs that already existed, so that's a total of 250 jobs we would have lost had they not decided to re-invest in the community.

The basic payroll on an annual basis, which is including all salary and benefits, is a little over \$4.5 million.

When we do the cost benefit analysis, we run it through a ten-year period. The reason for that is that's the term of the benefits that we are providing. So over a ten-year period we're talking about a 45 million, little over \$45 million total payroll for the 250 employees.

In addition, we also include the payment of taxes under the pilot. And in this particular case, over a ten-year period the project will pay \$5.4 million in taxes under the pilot agreement. Abatement, in relative

comparison, is \$3.2 million. So you can see that the pilot payment will exceed the actual benefit of the incentives.

So with that said, the total benefit of the project, including the payment of the pilot, is \$84.8 million. The cost to the community basically is sales tax abatement, which is another benefit, and that's estimated to be \$1.2 million. That's based on a \$30 million project. Half of those costs typically would be considered materials and sales tax items. And that's how we arrive at the \$1.2 million.

Mortgage recording tax, we're estimating it would probably be about \$300,000 based on a \$30 million mortgage.

These are pro forma numbers, I should say, these are not audited numbers at this point, because the project really has not been completed in terms of real dollars. These are project budgets that have been provided to us.

So that said, the total cost, if you will, is \$4.4 million. In comparison to the \$84.8 million in benefits, we end up with about 20 to 1 benefit to cost ratio for the

project. Which basically is a very strong cost, cost to benefit ratio.

As Joe said, this project is before the Agency. And it's not approved at this point in time. Your comments are welcome and considered and will be presented to the Board in total through the transcript that's being provided.

Thank you.

MR. MEAGHER: At this time I'm going to ask if the representatives of the application wish to be heard?

MR. KAMLET: I'm Ken Kamlet, with
Hinman, Howard, and Kattell. I'll just make a
very brief comment with respect to the
benefits. As Dick indicated, during the
10-year period of the abatement, the benefit to
cost ratio is about 20 to 1. The useful life
of the project is more like 30 years. So one
can multiply the benefits at least by a factor
of 3 to take that into account.

Also during that 30-year period there are no abatements being provided and the project is paying full taxes. So as a consequence of that, I -- I think by one

relevant measure the actual benefit to cost
ratio is well in excess of a hundred to one
rather than the 20 to 1 ratio that the IDA
conservatively relies on. So I just thought I
would inject that.

If there are other questions that arise

If there are other questions that arise later, Denise Johnson and I will try to respond.

MR. MEAGHER: Thank you. And I'll take comments now from the audience. And again, if you would state your name prior to speaking, and if you're representing a group please identify that group.

Does anyone else wish to be heard? Gentleman?

MR. MAXIM: Yeah, my name is Bob Maxim.

I live on 104 David Avenue in Vestal.

This gentleman mentioned something about a sales tax? And how -- how -- what -- could you repeat that? I wasn't fully understanding.

MR. D'ATTILIO: The benefits that -that pass through the Agency here are
not-for-profit status. So as a result of that
we are able to provide an exemption under the

1	payment lieu of tax program for real estate
2	taxes, and the actual construction materials
3	that are being purchased to build the facility
4	would be would be just as if we were
5	building it for ourselves, a not-for-profit
6	entity. So consequently they would be exempt
7	from 8 percent sales tax on all the
8	construction materials. We estimate a
9	\$30 million project, half of that would be
10	construction materials, so that's how we
11	arrived at the conservative number.
12	MR. MAXIM: You're saving that there's

MR. MAXIM: You're saying that there's going to be sales tax on the materials purchased?

MR. MEAGHER: Anyone else wish to be heard?

MR. D'ATTILIO: Exempting. Exempting.

MR. ZYSKOWSKI: Yeah, Ed Zyskowski,

Juneberry Road. I can appreciate the need for
replacing the nursing home, but I can also
appreciate people having to pay taxes in areas
that flood in a vil -- in a town that is crying
for tax dollars. I respectfully submit that
perhaps the developer rescind their pilot
request in light that everybody in that

neighborhood surrounding this development has to pay taxes and has suffered flooding.

Further down the road there's been significant flooding.

The Town can't take care of its infrastructure, they can't hire people because they need tax dollars. And no matter where the tax break is seen, it's still a tax break.

We're not getting any breaks.

One of the things I'd like to point out; Mr. Stife, one of the owners, I believe, was able to assume a HUD mortgage after it was defaulted upon. I'm looking at a substantial amount of evidence here that the developer and the Upstate SK LLC has substantial enough assets that, you know, quite frankly I think it's ridiculous that they're asking for any consideration in, you know, tax breaks whatsoever. Especially at this time.

Everybody's crying about high taxes.

Everybody here I know is stretching their

dollar as thin as possible. I -- quite frankly

I'm not against the project. I do have a

problem when businesses come in and I start

doing a little research into their background,

and I'm going jeez, they own this, they own that, they're tied in with Bridgewater over here, they've got another place in Spring --what is it, Spring Valley; they've spent in 20 -- 2012 alone \$24,000 in lobbying fees.

Let's see here, 2011.

If you don't mind I got to sit down here, I have a lot of stuff.

In 2011 they could afford \$61,266 in lobbying fees. And, you know, everybody here, I mean just this weekend I'm digging a trench, we're digging a trench down in my neighborhood. The Town of Vestal says we're going to get to you, we're going to get to you. Why? Well, we can't hire anybody, we don't have the money. And then I read the stuff that okay, he can hire — he can assume a HUD mortgage. After the business defaulted on.

I -- I find it as a slap in the face to the neighborhood that is going to suffer a substantial change from traffic, I mean you're taking a rural neighborhood and kicking in -- kicking it into an urban environment. And it's not sitting well with a lot of the people in the neighborhood. Primarily because of

problems that haven't been addressed, with the 1 2 excuse by the Town or whomever that they need 3 tax dollars, they don't have the manpower and the likes of that. 4 I, quite frankly, am a little tired of 5 6 subsidizing businesses. And I have been in 7 municipal government for 32 years. I've seen LLCs come into town, get these beautiful deals, 8 9 take the money and run, laughing all the way. 10 And you can't even go after them. I've seen 11 that way too many times. 12 Now, my question, one of the questions 13 I have, is granted the IDA is going to hold the 14 title to that property and they're leasing the 15 management to Upstate SK LLC. Is that correct? 16 MR. MEAGHER: That will be a transfer 17 similar to that, either a lease leaseback or a 18 sale leaseback. 19 MR. ZYSKOWSKI: Okay, so the IDA is 20 going to hold this property. 21 Now let's just say by some strange 22 event they default. Is the IDA going to pick 23 that up? MR. MEAGHER: Default on? 24

MR. ZYSKOWSKI:

Their mortgage.

Or

1 it's just -- is the IDA holding the entire -2 MR. MEAGHER: The IDA will assume no

3 responsibility on the mortgage.

MR. ZYSKOWSKI: Okay, now how much property does the IDA own in Broome County that's vacant at this time? That is off the tax rolls?

MR. D'ATTILIO: I --

MR. ZYSKOWSKI: Correct me if I'm wrong but when a property is vacant --

MR. D'ATTILIO: I'll do a very quick synopsis of the ownership that the IDA has. As Joe points out, we have nominal title to a lot of property. All of it is owner occupied for the most part that I'm aware of. We don't own anything for our own portfolio except a building in the Corporate Park in Conklin, which is occupied; the L3 building is -- is occupied by Link. We have a small building in Deposit which we have a day-care center in. But all of the other properties that we -- we actually have title to are for the benefit of our clients, much like it would be in this particular instance.

So we have very -- we have no property

that I'm aware of that's vacant.

MR. ZYSKOWSKI: Okay. One of the things, 'cause I'm kind of amazed at that from some of the details I've seen. But one of the things I'm seeing here is Upstate SK LLC is also tied in with Upstate Services Group in Syracuse, and also downstate, so I'm looking at a lot of nursing homes that are under this umbrella, and I'm still kind of amazed; I'm looking at a lot of assets here, a lot of money.

I've heard a lot of figures thrown out there that we really haven't been shown, we haven't been given the time to digest and review. But just off the cuff, scanning this, I'm baffled why an entity with so much capital behind it is asking for any special consideration. You got \$9.2 million. Now you want a HUD mortgage. And who's that backed by?

Come on. Vestal's flooded how many times, Broome County has flooded how many times.

I have no qualms of people coming to do business, beautiful, I'll go do business with ya. But please don't beg for a break when

every -- just about every year down in our neighborhood we're cleaning up after flood waters, runoff. I mean Vestal, this building we're in they want to get out of because of floods, and it's all going to be tax dollars. We can't keep giving it away. We have to account for it.

And sure you can say there's a tradeoff, we give you a break today, but how much actual tax, sales tax revenue, are we going to lose? I mean I know the County, County's already fighting with the municipalities over a 50/50 split, they don't want to give up anything. What's that tell ya? We're in some dire times.

I know over in Endicott the plastics manufacturing facility rescinded their pilot request. I ask that it happens here.

We're in tough times, and I think it's really a slap in the face to Broome County and especially a neighborhood that is going to change really quick.

Right now there's going to be two entities, once the other community center is built, they're both going to be tax exempt.

Now here's a third property is going to be a 1 tax deal. Not even 500 yards away from each 2 3 other, we've got 3 special tax deals going on. And I'm supposed to smile and say oh, yeah, beautiful. Here, take my tax money, give it to 5 somebody else; and then I look at the dollars, 6 7 look at their assets, look at the number of 8 nursing homes they have.

> No, I'm sorry, if they want to build it, build it. But I'm against the pilot 100 percent.

MR. MEAGHER: Okay. Does anyone else wish to be heard?

Yes.

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GRIFFITH: My name's Kate Griffith. I live in Vestal. It's more of a question. thought that IDA supported businesses be -- and there was a connection there has to be job, to show job growth. So how many jobs, more jobs, would be created by this? Is that -- is there a -- I mean am I -- is my premise okay that the IDA has to --

MR. D'ATTILIO: In part. We take a lot of factors into consideration relative to the economic benefit to the community. In addition

1	to the financial aspects of it. But to answer
2	your specific question, there's a hundred and
3	fifteen new jobs being projected for this
4	project, and also the retention of those jobs
5	had this not this project not gone forward.
6	The 250 people who were working in the former
7	facility would not be working; conceivably the
8	would be working in other facilities but we are
9	retaining them for this particular project to
10	support the growth of the of the facility
11	itself.
12	MS. GRIFFITH: But a hundred fifteen
13	new
14	MR. D'ATTILIO: A hundred and fifteen
15	new.
16	MS. GRIFFITH: Thank you.
17	MR. KRAFT: Phil Kraft, I also live in
18	Vestal. That's with a K.
19	To expand on Kate's point about jobs,
20	I'd like to address, I think Miss Johnson's
21	here tonight? I'd like to ask her when the
22	older facility was functioning about how many
23	representative jobs there were held by Vestal
24	residents?
25	MS. JOHNSON: I can't answer that,

Τ	really. We we employ we can't say
2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You can't say
3	about future jobs because they haven't
4	happened.
5	MS. JOHNSON: We employ people from
6	local as well as out of town.
7	MR. KRAFT: But you don't have a
8	breakdown?
9	MS. JOHNSON: Not off the top of my
10	head.
11	MR. KRAFT: So conceivably in addition
12	to Broome County there could be jobs held by
13	people coming from P.A., right across the state
14	line.
15	MS. JOHNSON: Conceivably, yeah.
16	MR. KRAFT: Thank you.
17	MR. MEAGHER: Yes.
18	MS. ROTH: I'm a resident of Vestal,
19	Sandra Roth. The longer I sit here, the more
20	hear, the more I know how little I know about
21	this. However, I do have some personal input
22	that I wanted to get out there, and that is we
23	do need a nursing home in Vestal. My husband
24	was in Vestal Park when the flood occurred, he
25	was transferred to Binghamton. I hesitated to

sign him in. I didn't even get to see him for three days 'cause I couldn't get there. When I finally got there, they immediately wanted me to sign him in because he, fortunately, did get a bed immediately, whereas most of them were in a large room down on the first floor with nothing but shields and partitions between them. And very difficult situations for people that are handicap.

I finally looked at the woman, and I said do I have to sign him in today?

And she said if you don't sign him in, you're going to be traveling to Syracuse or Oneonta or Norwich.

Does that tell you anything? We empty one nursing home, and everything else is so full. We got to travel that far? Even traveling to Binghamton was a -- not just an inconvenience but a difficulty for me for the entire winter. Because I was not able to get him back into the Vestal Park in the Willow Point section, I should say that the other way around, the Vestal Park section of the Willow Point Nursing Home, until the middle of May. And I was happy to be able to even do that. I

didn't know if it was going to happen.

But I'm telling ya, we need a nursing home. You don't ever think you're going to be in this spot. And it happens like that. I mean he -- he had five other strokes before this. He had recuperated, they were different type of stroke. He had done very well. The sixth one, completely paralyzed on the right side, unable to speak, and have pureed food. And he has since passed away.

But I'm saying we do need a nursing home. The ins and outs of how it's accomplished, I have to agree that's a -- that's a field that they're talking about putting it on that I have seen, when I used to ride the school bus, completely flooded.

So, you know, there's a lot of things here to be considered. But I -- I-. We do need another nursing home.

MR. MEAGHER: Thank you. Let the woman in the front row, in the red shirt.

MS. WESTERMAN: Oh, okay, yeah. My name is Cynthia Westerman, live at 116 Carol Avenue.

I -- what she said is interesting to me

because I've just been looking at places for a friend of mine, not a relative but a friend, and I know there is a need for nursing homes.

However, the assisted living and adult care and independent living right now at this area are not needed. There are a lot of vacancies, in fact there's a competition between some of the facilities for people at that level.

Now, I agree that there -- everybody says oh, yes, because of Vestal Nursing Home closing we definitely need, we need the nursing beds, but I'm wondering if anybody -- actually at my age I'd like one of those other kind of things to move into but I don't -- I'm wondering if we really need that in this area. That's going to be a lot of what you're doing by expanding.

Another question I have, and I don't know whether this is really relevant or not, but, when I first heard about this project I came to the hearing when they talked about, you know, the environmental conditions and things like that, and I made some comments. I've been away, I think that's all been settled now. But I am wondering about the fact that the --

1	since since I while I was gone, Cuomo go
2	this bill passed where they could get
3	exemptions around universities. And that's
4	going to have a big effect on Vestal, I think.
5	To me, with the university we're going to lose
6	a lot of tax dollars with business starting in
7	that area. And I kind of question saying it
8	would be okay to do this, but Vestal is really
9	in trouble. They're really gonna be in trouble
10	because of the tax free zone around the
11	university. So because of that I'm sort of
12	opposed to them giving away anything else.
13	MR. MEAGHER: Thank you.
14	Anyone else?
15	MR. KAMLET: Joe, can I respond to
16	Cindy's concern about the
17	MR. MEAGHER: Please.
18	MR. KAMLET: new program that
19	Governor Cuomo got through the legislature
20	recently?
21	My understanding is that in the final
22	version of that legislation, before a site can
23	be taken off the tax rolls in a locality, you
24	know, even right next to the university, as
25	long as it's off the university campus, which

2.4

is already tax exempt, the -- the local municipality has to agree to taking it off the tax roll. Without the consent of the local government, it can't participate in that program and get the tax benefits that are laid out in the new legislation. That -- that is the difference from the original Tax Free New York proposal as the governor initially proposed it.

MS. WESTERMAN: I don't -- I don't know about.

MR. MEAGHER: Thank you. Anyone -- and I'm going to let you speak again but I don't want you monopolizing, there's other people who haven't spoken, so does anyone?

MR. REYNOLDS: Dan Reynolds, I'm county legislator for this district. I have one question in particular. You mentioned the sales tax break that they were getting. Is there anything that would require the construct -- the people that are going to be doing the construction to buy the materials local if they're going to be getting the sales tax break?

MR. D'ATTILIO: In our -- in our due

diligence process we work with the developer to make them clearly understand the fact that in our application there's a citation, it's not a mandate but it's very strongly worded in our certification base that we encourage very strongly that local labor and local services and local products be -- be used in the project. Stopping short of mandating, we -- we certainly make sure that that message is -- is delivered.

MR. REYNOLDS: Is there a reason why IDA wouldn't mandate?

MR. D'ATTILIO: Well, I -- I guess we've been through this over the years in terms of putting a stronger -- stronger wording in it in terms of, if you want to just call it in general buy local. And I think the Board of Directors had come to the conclusion that, you know, basically putting a border around our community may very well have adverse effects, if some of our contractors or service providers or -- or suppliers would be excluded from going to Tioga County or any other county or any other community in New York.

So this is a somewhat free society. I

1	think the general tone of the of the Board
2	was that we wanted to make sure that we had
3	open doors for for our investors, and at the
4	same time also very strongly encourage them to
5	use local local contract, both labor
6	services and suppliers.
7	MR. REYNOLDS: To follow up on the jobs
8	that were are potentially going to be
9	created, were those jobs at the facility or are
10	they construction jobs, or what types of jobs
11	are you talking about?
12	MR. D'ATTILIO: The jobs that I
13	referred to here are strictly at the facility.
14	Not construction jobs.
15	MR. REYNOLDS: They don't account for
16	any construction jobs that would be created as
17	a result of the project?
18	MR. D'ATTILIO: No.
19	MR. REYNOLDS: Okay. Thanks.
20	MR. MEAGHER: Okay. Anyone else?
21	I'm going to go back to Mr., I forgot
22	your name already.
23	MR. ZYSKOWSKI: Ed Zyskowski.
24	Okay, I'm just kind of wondering what
25	is going to happen to the old facility on Old

Vestal Road. Anybody have an idea what's going to happen to that?

Also, I know there's concerns about nursing homes in the area but I early on sat in a meeting where the County was actually looking at possibly building a new nursing home up at the State Hospital grounds to replace Willow Point. Now I know they're looking at refurbing Willow Point. And I just want to let everybody know, because this really hasn't gotten out to the media, that the County was actually looking at building a whole new facility at the State hospital.

And quite frankly, from everything I know in the neighborhood, and as you heard from other people, flooding potential, and granted they're going to build it up, but you still have a creek there, and I'll tell ya, it would have been nice if -- I mean 'cause your travel time is 5 minutes to 15 minutes; it would have been great if they built it up there at the State Hospital grounds. Because the State's looking to sell off a ton of property up there.

It would have been safe up in the facility, high and dry. And God forbid there's

1 a catastrophic event.

But I got a strange feeling the new facility may be surrounded by water at some point in time in the future.

MR. MEAGHER: Yes.

MR. KRAFT: Bill Kraft, Vestal.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: With a K.

MR. KRAFT: With a K, Bundt Hill

Road. Right around the corner from Sheedy.

I guess I'll just ask this as plainly as I can. Has the IDA asked the developers whether they would continue to build if they did not get tax write-offs? This is a for-profit enterprise, quite -- much larger than for-profit enterprise, which as Mr., I'm sorry, Ed has -- has pointed out, has huge assets throughout the state. Across the state. And presumably the owners have done the numbers and decided whether they can make money on this enterprise just on the basis of conventional investments. Did you ask them, did the Board ask them in their application or formal or informal hearings whether they would proceed without the subsidy.

Thank you.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. D'ATTILIO: The short answer is
yes, it's always a question that's asked. I
don't mean to be trite.

MR. KRAFT: I'm sorry, I'm old and

slow, and also I'm getting deaf.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ D'ATTILIO: I'm old and hoarse so we're equal.

The short answer is yes, we always ask.

And they always say yes, we need it.

It's very difficult to be able to deal with a client who's looking to invest multi millions of dollars in our community, whether they be here already or whether they be outside the area. But the -- obviously, always the answer is yes, we need the tax breaks to either satisfy our lender, to satisfy our bottom line, return on investment expectations. For us to be actually able to audit those books is counterproductive, from my perspective, as an attractor of business and investment to the community.

We -- they represent to us in good faith that these tax breaks are necessary for them to proceed. And we take that as an honest answer in our due diligence discussions.

MR. KRAFT: Just so I understand, and 1 2 make sure I heard you properly, you're saying 3 that they have indicated they would not proceed if they didn't get the tax breaks. 4 MR. D'ATTILIO: They have not said it 5 in that particular way but they have said it's 6 7 necessary for them to basically make the return on investment that would encourage them to go 8 9 forward with this \$30 million project. 10 not -- it's not a black-and-white yes or no. 11 MR. KRAFT: But you also said something 12 I want to make sure I understood, I wasn't 13 expecting part of your answer. Did you also 14 say you have no good way of auditing their 15 books or their claims in the application so you 16 don't? 17 MR. D'ATTILIO: They wouldn't disclose. 18 MR. KRAFT: They would not disclose? 19 MR. D'ATTILIO: Generally clients will not disclose to us, the public agency, their 20 21 financial records. 22 MR. KRAFT: So this is based entirely 23 on a profit-making entity's claim that they 24 have X, Y, and Z subsidies or they will not

proceed with the project.

1	MR. D'ATTILIO: That is all the
2	information they provide to us. We take it in
3	good faith, they sign the certification page,
4	which basically if it if there's a violation
5	of any information or any any information
6	provided to us, regarding the project,
7	financing, we can default the project and
8	basically convert it back to a fully taxable
9	entity.
10	MR. KRAFT: But of course I don't
11	understand how you would know if they violated
12	any of the terms if you don't audit them.
13	MR. D'ATTILIO: It's not in our purview
14	to audit, nor would they allow us to audit.
15	It's just the only way I can answer your
16	question.
17	MR. KRAFT: You're not allowed to audit
18	them.
19	MR. D'ATTILIO: They wouldn't allow us
20	to audit them.
21	MR. KRAFT: Did you
22	MR. D'ATTILIO: If I were to come to
23	your house and want to look at your tax
24	returns, I mean it's simply - it's not that
25	simple but it's similar.

MR. KRAFT: Well, when the bank gave me
a mortgage on my property on Bundt Hill Road,
they wanted to look at my tax returns.

MR. D'ATTILIO: We're not the financer.

We -- we basically are providing benefits but

the financing is done separate, and it's

basically a nonrecourse financing so the

Agency's not involved in the financial

transaction per se. So we wouldn't be

expected, nor would we really have a privilege

or a right, to look at their financial records.

MR. KRAFT: Wow, I'd really like to come to you for my remortgage. It sounds like a really good deal.

MR. MEAGHER: Just so you understand also that many of the projects, including this one, are brought by sole source LLCs that have no financial background beyond this project and really don't even have numbers yet. They haven't even bid this project yet. They have no idea what the project -- they -- I mean they have an idea but the -- correct me if I'm wrong, Ken, has this been bid out yet? For construction?

MR. KAMLET: I'm not sure exactly what

1 the status is.

MS. JOHNSON: Last I heard they were in the process of it.

MR. MEAGHER: Process. They -- at this point they haven't even -- they don't even have their own numbers yet.

MR. KRAFT: But you've got numbers for us tonight about tax benefits.

MR. MEAGHER: We're talking about what the tax benefits are in connection with this, as opposed to we've been given a guesstimate as to what it's going to cost to build this facility.

MR. D'ATTILIO: In fact, I should say that these benefits that we are talking about are projections. They could be higher, in terms of benefits to the community, and which — they could possibly be lower in terms of cost. Once the true numbers are in. But these are the best estimates that are provided to us by the developer based on his budgeting. And they wouldn't go forward with it if they weren't within, let's call it inside the fence with those numbers.

MR. KAMLET: Okay, let me make two

1	comments, if I could. In response to that
2	series of questions. One of them is that
3	whether or not the IDA has closely audited the
4	financials, I can assure you that HUD, which is
5	providing a \$26 million mortgage for this
6	project, has minutely scrutinized the
7	financials, you know, stacks and stacks of
8	documentation required by them. No stone has
9	gone unturned in connection with that, that
10	review. It's the most rigorous review I've
11	I've ever seen. Far more rigorous than
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Could we see it?
13	MR. KAMLET: When that when that's
14	completed I think the HUD paperwork is a matter
15	of public record and could be accessed through
16	the federal Freedom of Information Act.
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You have not
18	seen it?
19	MR. KAMLET: I have not seen it myself,
20	I've seen bits and pieces of it.
21	The documentation that we assemble to
22	put together the application to the IDA is
23	based on the preliminary numbers that were
24	submitted to HUD. And they were worked over

very -- very carefully. And more recently the

folks who are working with the developer on finalizing the HUD mortgage application as in its very final stages informed us that the figures that we used for the IDA, IDA application, are very close to the final figures that were submitted to HUD.

So I -- I've got a high degree of confidence that the numbers that were submitted to the IDA are very, very accurate.

about the importance of the IDA tax abatement in terms of the ability for the project to go forward or not; this project could possibly have gone forward somewhere else, without the benefit of a pilot agreement of the sort that, you know, the IDA has under consideration. The Town of Vestal is very anxious for this project to be built within the Town of Vestal, rather than somewhere else.

The site on which this project is located is in a lot of ways not an ideal site. It is basically a sand and gravel barrel pit, it was used in the 1960s as a source of sand of gravel for the shoulder of Route 26 when that was constructed. It's like a bowl, it has very

steep slopes on the Route 26 side. Closer to the river there's a four-plus-acre portion of the site that is within the FEMA designated flood plain that HUD would not allow us to build on, so that portion of the site was off limits for locating the facility itself.

only, you know, the four-plus acres there but also the acreage that is steep slopes that cannot support construction, about half of the overall site is not available for construction of this project. And I can assure you that the tax assessor in coming up with a preliminary assessment for this project didn't give us any dispensation for all of the unusable, you know, land that makes up this site.

It's a 19.03-acre site overall; close to 10 acres is not -- not useable. So in order for this site to be viable for this project, we needed to get some sort of tax abatement, and, as Dick indicated earlier, we sought the minimum standard tax abatement for a commercial construction project, which is 50 percent abatement for the first 5 years, 25 percent abatement for the next 5 years, and after that

it's full payment of taxes.

So I -- I don't think this developer has been greedy at all in terms of what it sought from the IDA or the way it's handled this.

The other speaker, Ed, spoke of other nursing home projects that this LLC operates.

Many of those projects were turned over to this developer because they were in distress, were poorly managed by previous owners, and it's only the sterling reputation of this nursing home operator that caused the Federal Government to turn to this nursing home operator to take over those other failing nursing homes. And he's restored most of those other nursing homes to good financial health.

I think it's something the Town of

Vestal should welcome to its community and

not -- not view it as something, you know,

where a rich developer is trying to put

something over on the community and detract

from the tax base. You know, it's quite the

opposite. It's going to add greatly to the tax

base over the long term, a period of 30 years

or more, and that's something this community

desperately needs.

I'm a Vestal resident myself.

So I wanted to, you know, make that a little more clear.

MR. MEAGHER: Yes.

MR. REYNOLDS: I'd just like to get back to Ed's question, because I don't think it was answered. This -- this company has the other facility that's over on Old Vestal Road. To rephrase your question, if -- if I'm not exactly right on this feel free to correct me on; is the IDA contemplating the disposition of that facility as a process or at least part of whether or not they're going to approve the pilot agreement for the proposed facility off of 26?

MR. D'ATTILIO: I should say that there are certain members of the IDA Board of Directors that are very aware of that concern, the concern that's -- that was expressed. And having asked that information be considered, or be provided to us, and in response to their concerns, for that matter. And it has basically been discussed.

I can't speak necessarily for the

progress or where the developer is but certainly we've been told that there's a couple of alternatives that they were willing to -- to consider; A, a possibility of working with the Town on a transfer for town purposes. Certainly the other aspect would be to dispose of the property in a commercial way, should there be a commercial use for it, which would therefore put it back on the tax rolls.

So we have had that dialogue with the developer. At this point in time they're considering the options of what they're going to do with the facility. But in the meantime they're, as I understand it, current and are continuing to pay taxes on the facility as it currently reside, as it currently sits.

MR. REYNOLDS: So do you anticipate there's going to be a resolution to that issue before the -- the pilot gets voted on by the Board?

MR. D'ATTILIO: I really can't say what the resolution will be in terms of timing. But it's certainly something that some of the board members are very interested in.

MS. JOHNSON: If I could just clarify,

at this point we are using the facility, the building, storage, until we have another place to put some of our possessions until the new building is built. So. I think that kind of goes in with the timing.

MS. PANECIK: My name is Debbie

Panecik, I'm a Vestal resident. I live in Twin

Orchards, and I was substantially. I

absolutely know what it feels like to have

somebody asking for a tax break because my own

property probably is worth \$50,000 less today

than it was prior to the flood, and we are

currently still paying substantial taxes.

However, I would like to address generationally what this building will do.

First of all, while I can't guarantee that all the employees will be from Vestal, the majority of the applications that we get for the residents are. And if you have parents that are elderly, you know, like my dad has not been on Court Street in 20 years. To try and get to Binghamton Psych Center would be an impossibility for him. So many of our Vestal residents need something close.

Secondly, yes, for ten years they're

going to get a break. But my daughter lives in Vestal. And I would like to see Vestal prosper. And if we don't allow them to build, that empty field is going to generate zero dollars ten years from now. And at least ten years from now they will be paying full taxes. And this community will be able to continue to prosper. Jobs, taxes, et cetera.

It is hard in the interim to look the other way and not be angry. But what has happened to us cannot stop us from progressing as a town.

MR. MEAGHER: Thank you.

MR. MAXIM: I don't know if this -just for my own observation. I live on David
Avenue, and we have Route 26 goes across. And
up, up the hill, they put in a new development.
And I know this is just through my own
observation, that after they put that
development in, there seemed to be an increase
of water, you know, coming down the hill, into
the creek. Okay, which is washing out a lot of
the backyards of the people who border the
creek. Again, it's from my own observation,
you know, once you take away all that, the

trees and the grass, you know.

I'm not against the nursing home being built. But I know, you mentioned something about a drainage being put in? Did you say? I thought somebody mentioned that.

MR. MEAGHER: There -- any -- any project of this nature requires a stormwater management plan to be submitted and to be approved by -- by New York State. And they can't get their building permits and their zoning permits without a stormwater management plan. You may be taking it from that word or that phrase that I used.

MR. MAXIM: Yes, I believe so.

But what I'm saying is that, you know, they built these houses, there seemed to be an increase in water, and these -- these backyards are being washed away. You know, what's going to happen down there when they take the grass out and there's, you know, blacktop and roofing, there isn't anything to absorb that water. Has that been taken into account. You know, I don't know if anybody in that area's concerned with that issue. You know.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They are. And

Ed, I -- I have to -- he's -- he's brought some

points that I hadn't thought of. When he

4 spoke, and I, you know.

MR. D'ATTILIO: I can't speak to that as an engineer but I had conversations with --with Ken who represents the developer, and it may sound counterintuitive but in all likelihood the development of that site and the stormwater management plan and the drainage control systems may very well improve what maybe you are experiencing today as a result of being an undeveloped site. So as Joe points out, the New York State DEC requires a storm management plan. And that supersedes any action at the local level, until you get that approval.

So you've got state approvals, you got local approvals, you got the planning board approvals. All of which are taking into consideration how that site will be developed and what impact it's going to have not only at the site but in the surrounding areas.

So I can't predict the future but I would suggest that maybe it will improve the

problem that you're experiencing.

Ken?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KAMLET: You know, I can comment further on that. The stormwater pollution prevention plan, you know, has been approved, you know, was a prerequisite to getting site plan approval from the Town of Vestal Planning Board. As was testified by the project Civil Engineer Poe at both the planning board and the town board. The pollution prevention -stormwater pollution prevention plan in fact actually reduces the amount of overall runoff at the site, compared to the preconstruction condition. It's not going to handle all of the off-site runoff that's unrelated to the project but there will be a net benefit during most of the, you know, intervals that, you know, are looked at as part of hydrologic calculations.

And, you know, that was documented by the civil engineer, it was part of the prevention plan, it was received and approved by DEC. And that's not surmised, it's been as, you know, well documented as possible, without having a crystal ball.

MS. WESTERMAN: I have two questions.

Does the LLC, whatever you call it, do they own the nursing home now, the one that's not being operated on Old Vestal Road?

MR. MEAGHER: I'll let Ken answer that.

MR. KAMLET: That is a different

entity. There is kind of a convoluted history
associated with that. Prior to the flood of

2011 a related LLC let's say operated the
facility. For a very short period of time.

MS. JOHNSON: Three months.

MR. KAMLET: Three months, before it was hit by -- by the flood. Did not own the facility; totally separate, unrelated entity owned the facility at that time.

Subsequent, you know, to the flood and the devastation of that facility, my -- my client, United Services Group, entity really had no interest in the old nursing home facility because, you know, despite the scrutiny of the town, of FEMA, of HUD, of various other, you know, entities, became clear that there was no way that that old facility could be rehabilitated and restored as -- as a nursing home, and that's the business that our client, you know, is involved in. You know,

they have no interest in or capacity to operate it as an office building or as a retail facility or anything of that -- that sort.

Related LLC wound up acquiring that facility as part of a package deal, there were a number of other facilities that had not been impacted by the flood, you know, outside of this immediate area that they did have an interest in acquiring, but the owner of those facilities which also own the old Vestal Nursing Home facility would not sell the other facilities without also transferring the old nursing home. So it kind of unwillingly and unwittingly found itself, you know, the owner of that old facility. It has no particular plans for the use of that building because it has no potential use as a nursing home any longer.

The Town approached us with the -- you know, the issue of whether the developer would have an interest in donating that facility to the Town, and, as Dick indicated, it is something that's under consideration. You know, the tax implications of that are being actively investigated.

The other potential scenario in that regard would be for that property to be put on the -- on the market, the commercial market, and if someone else in some other line of business had use for that facility in mind that would put it back on the tax rolls and restore it to productive use, that that's the other likely potential scenario for, you know, turning that facility over to someone who would make beneficial use of it.

You know, that's as far as the, you know, thinking has gone at this point. The developer is preoccupied right now with consummating the HUD mortgage and, you know, getting through this process. And, you know, once that, you know, is taken care of and construction has begun on this new facility, I imagine that additional attention will be paid to implementing one of those two alternatives that have been talked about.

So that's where we are, you know, Dan, and others in that regard.

MS. WESTERMAN: I also have another question about the site. Ken said right away that it wasn't an ideal site, which was my

immediate reaction when I read about it. I
thought why in the world are they going from
one flood place to another. I did attend the
hearing with the environmental concerns
mentioned; as I say, I think that's legally
satisfied, I'm not sure actually what's going
to happen. But I just wondered, isn't there
another -- is there any other site in Vestal, I
mean like everybody wants this in Vestal. Is
there any other site that they would be able to
use the full, you know, the full acreage, or
are there none available?

MS. JOHNSON: I can speak to that.

Because I was -- I operated very actively in looking for various properties. And there's very little property in Vestal, which that's our name, that's where we see our mission.

MS. WESTERMAN: I'm not opposed to it.

MS. JOHNSON: That's not actively in the flood area that's large enough to build on and is in a location that anybody would want to live in.

There was one other property that was even further out Route 26 that we felt was a close second but because it was so far out of

1	town we were concerned about elderly spouses or
2	other elderly families having to drive that far
3	in order to be able to visit. Especially at
4	night.
5	MS. WESTERMAN: I understand that, I
6	just was curious.
7	MS. JOHNSON: That location really was
8	the best location that we could find.
9	MS. WESTERMAN: Even though you
10	couldn't use the whole site.
11	MS. JOHNSON: Right.
12	MR. D'ATTILIO: Was that the old
13	school?
14	MS. JOHNSON: The old school, yes,
15	sorry.
16	MR. KAMLET: It's kind of interesting
17	that, you know, that Ed, while talking about
18	impacts on the Vestal tax rolls, is so willing
19	to suggest use of the psych center site as an
20	alternative location, which of course would
21	take it off the Vestal tax rolls entirely and
22	put it on the Binghamton tax rolls. Just an
23	observation.
24	MR. ZYSKOWSKI: With site selection,
25	did any did any of it have to do with the

Fact that Rambo Realty LLC, slash, Botnick Five Ventures is affiliated with or was affiliated with Bridgewater Nursing Home on Front Street, and also that nursing home was taken under at one point in time Mr. Cee's control, also which would have been SK LLC? Because what I'm seeing here is an entity that had vested interest in one nursing home and now another nursing home is coming to buy property from an entity that was tied to the entity we're discussing. Upstate SK LLC. And it kind of is a little perplexing when you start looking at all these LLCs who owns it, and then once again to hear someone requesting that they would like to, you know, get a tax break.

MS. JOHNSON: I can tell you that that was purely coincidental because I would -- I was the one that was looking for properties.

And presenting it to the owners in order to -- for consideration. And I am not savvy to know who has what property and what all that is.

It's -- it was purely coincidental.

MR. KAMLET: But Rambo Realty is a commercial real estate broker, and I guess nursing homes is one of the things that it

It's not

brokers. 1 MS. JOHNSON: I literally myself was 2 driving around the streets of and hills of 3 Vestal looking for possible places for our 4 home. 5 6 MR. ZYSKOWSKI: Well, a beautiful place 7 would have been just right up the place from your existing site on Old Vestal Road which is 8 right across from where Lourdes Hospital is 9 10 building their new facilities, a nice big, flat 11 area well out of the flood plain. No 12 questions. 13 MS. JOHNSON: That flooded. 14 big enough, and it flooded. 15 MR. ZYSKOWSKI: You have a huge 16 expanse, there's a number of sites down there. 17 Large expanses of land. And definitely keep 18 you out of flood plain. 19 MR. MEAGHER: Yes. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I -- I have a 21 question but I do want to say that I -- I 22 thought that Ed's idea of going to the psych

center was putting safety above -- even above

the taxes, the money, and the money's not the

very first priority of everything. At least

23

24

not with some of us. 1 But anyway, I think I understand that 2 the -- the first year they only pay half the 3 taxes? 4 MR. MEAGHER: First five years. 5 6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, okay. So 7 they pay half the taxes. 8 MR. MEAGHER: Right. 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This is only 10 half the taxes as of late. 11 MR. MEAGHER: But now there's 12 essentially no taxes on that property. 13 property will be assessed by the Town of Vestal 14 assessor, and, you know, that -- that may be a 15 battle that is a dispute between the owner and 16 the assessor, but at some point an assessment 17 will be reached, the property will be taxed, 18 and what they pay will be half of that amount. 19 Not half of what the taxes are on the property 20 now, because this is taxed now as vacant land. 21 This will be half of a fully constructed 22 nursing home facility. 23 And in year 6 through 10 they will be paying 75 percent of what the full taxation 24

would be, full taxation in year 11 and

1 thereafter.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. And could we have an estimate of in year 11? What the -- what we might be -- what that would mean to our tax rolls?

MR. MEAGHER: Well, we can't tell you what the taxes would be because that -- we would have to know what the tax rate is. And we don't know at this point what the facility is even going to be assessed at. We have -- I think we use in our cost benefit analysis the figure given to us by the assessor, is that correct? Dick, which was how much?

MR. D'ATTILIO: The assessment provided to us based upon information provided, the assessment provided to us based on the information provided to the assessor from the developer basically ended up with a \$26.64 million value. We applied the current tax rates.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Which is a hundred percent.

MR. D'ATTILIO: That's right. So

the -- a hundred percent assessed value applied

to the current tax rates resulted in an annual

Τ	tax of $\$8/0,000,8/0,300.33$, to be exact, based
2	upon that calculation in today's tax rates. So
3	as you forecast out tax rate growth, you could
4	see that number grow potentially in years 11
5	and on. But even as a constant it's a
6	significant tax payment when you consider
7	870,000 and they're paying 50 percent of that
8	for 5 years and then 50 percent for the next 5
9	years. That, that is a constant.
LO	Tax rates will change, the 50 percent
L1	will increase based upon the rate change as
L 2	well.
13	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, so even if
L 4	the first five years we may each year have
L 5	additional amount of tax
L 6	MR. D'ATTILIO: If the rates go up.
L 7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Even if the rate
L 8	doesn't go up, the amount of
L 9	MR. D'ATTILIO: The rates would have to
2 0	go up or the assessment would change. In
21	either case. But it would, it would affect
22	50 percent calculation for the 75 percent
23	calculation

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just so I have a

comparison, what -- for example, what does a

24

place like Walmart pay?

MR. D'ATTILIO: Couldn't tell you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I was just trying to. But anyway, just, or just how business are -- how that is -- how that is with other businesses.

But anyway, thank you.

MR. REYNOLDS: You just mentioned something that just made me think of another question on it. You said that there's potential that there's going to be a dispute on the assessment. Does the IDA have an agreement between the Town and the party that's entering into the -- the agreement on what the assessed value is going to be? To approve it?

MR. MEAGHER: No, we don't have to.

Many times in a pilot there will be an agreement between the developer and the assessor and it will be part of the pilot package. But at the end of the day, his project should be assessed at what its true value should be. And that leaves open the possibility of an assessment challenge. But at the end of the day you hopefully get to the figure of what is this building worth.

MR. REYNOLDS: So historically the IDA 1 hasn't required the two parties have an 3 agreement before they issue. MR. MEAGHER: Historically no. going to say that there haven't been cases 5 6 where, and a figure has been reached between 7 the developer and the assessor going into the 8 project. MR. REYNOLDS: You can see where 9 10 there'd be some apprehension, because I mean 11 some of the numbers that you're speaking of are 12 contingent on the number being whatever it is 13 that they're agreed to, so. MR. MEAGHER: Well, this is the maximum 14 15 number based on the assessment that the town 16 assessor has set forth. It certainly may end 17 up being less. It may end up being less, and on the bottom side of that the benefit that the 18 19 developer receives will then be less. MR. REYNOLDS: Again I'm just going to. 20 21 MR. MEAGHER: Sure. 22 MR. REYNOLDS: Are you currently in 23 talks with the Town of Vestal on the assessed property value, discussing, at least, or? 24

Discussion that you can talk about?

MR. KAMLET: I mean I could go into a lot of detail regarding the background of that but, you know, we try to reopen negotiations after the Vestal tax assessor came up with the same number that he came up with four months earlier, before he involves the data that we provided him on the other comparable facilities, and he evidently took none of that into account in coming up with his preliminary assessment.

This is, you know, a roughly \$36 million project. There's a 9 -- \$9 million heel grant from the State Department of Health involved, and a lot of the things that should factor into the calculation. It's a project that has had a 30-year useful life. Obviously the upfront investment is not something that is going to be realized in the first year or the first ten years. It's amortized over the full useful life of a facility.

For the most part as I understand it property assessments for nursing homes are based on a per bed valuation because the occupancy of those beds determines the return on investment that the, you know, nursing home

1 facility receives.

None of that evidently was taken into account by the -- by the Vestal assessor, and, you know, we expressed shock and dismay over the preliminary estimate that he provided and requested the opportunity to, you know, talk with him further. And have not heard anything back from him since then.

But the IDA, the agreement that would be entered into with the IDA does not depend on the absolute assessed valuation number. It's expressed in terms of percentages of the assessed value. So that there is ample time over the two years or more that it's going to take to complete construction of this project to negotiate, you know, an understanding with the tax assessor in that regard. On what the absolute amount would be.

MR. MEAGHER: Anyone else? Yes.

MR. DRANCHOK: My name's Steve

Dranchok, I live on Juneberry Road. I just had
a couple questions.

First I want to make a couple comments.

I don't think the people are saying that a

nursing home's a bad thing in Vestal. I think they're saying a nursing home's a good thing in Vestal, as long as things are done properly. I think we talked about stormwater, we talked about runoff and all this kind of stuff. I've got a couple questions about the pilot. But I kind of feel like I'm watching a baseball game for the first time that came in on the 7th inning, I'm a little bit behind on some things.

And I guess we have these kind of meetings in the future. The information that was read at the beginning, if that would be available before the meeting so that we can see it so we can kind of come up to speed, it will help us as residents of the area.

A couple of questions with the pilot.

The 50 percent savings on taxes and 25 percent savings on taxes, how were those numbers negotiated? Is that a fixed, does that come out of the pilot that that's fixed, that's the way it is, or was that negotiated by the parties?

MR. MEAGHER: That's our standard commercial pilot.

MR. D'ATTILIO: The State of New York

required many years ago that IDAs across the state put in place a heel uniform payment in lieu of tax program. That uniform payment tax program basically required a public hearing across all tax jurisdictions in Broome County. I can't even tell ya the date. It was pre -preceded my tenure here. But that -- that standard payment has -- has never changed in all those years. The only time you'll see a deviation is if the -- in this particular instance, if the Town thought it was worthy of a -- or necessary for a different tax program other than what your standard would be, then the Town would be negotiating as sort of the lead agency to come to the Agency and say here is what we proposed, because we think the project is worthy or in need of something other than your standard.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we did not negotiate pilot, it was basically off -- off the menu, if you will.

MR. DRANCHOK: Okay. Thank you. So I guess the next question is after the ten years expires, is there anything that prohibits you guys from coming and asking for another tax break.

1	MR. D'ATTILIO: Wouldn't be us.
2	MR. DRANCHOK: Or whoever, the nursing
3	home, or.
4	MR. D'ATTILIO: There's there would
5	be
6	MR. DRANCHOK: Once they pay
7	100 percent taxes, it's 100 percent tax from
8	that day forward, or is there another plan they
9	could go after so they don't have to pay taxes?
10	MR. MEAGHER: Not from IDA.
11	MR. D'ATTILIO: Not for the existing
12	developer. The only case where that may occur,
13	if it were sold, and if the sale required or
14	requested. But there would be no it would
15	not qualify under our standard payment lieu of
16	tax program. It's only for new added value
17	projects that the agency program would apply.
18	The only way it would apply is if, for
19	example, the project were to be sold and
20	conditioned upon the sale the new owner would
21	say to the Town we can't afford to buy it
22	unless you give us a tax deal.
23	Now I should say also historically in
24	my 18 years, we've always had a policy that

I've been strict on, and that is that we do not

take anything off the tax rolls for below what the current taxes are. So if there were -- for example there was a purchase of a facility, and we've done it a couple of times, it never reduced the taxes; all we were able to do with the lead agency being the town in question would be to set a fixed payment for a period of time to support the acquisition and -- and -- and redevelopment of the project. But it would always have added value. You would always have a base.

Whatever is being paid would be paid as a fixed base payment. And we would only treat the -- the increase as a -- as an abatement. So there would have to be added value to the tax base.

MR. DRANCHOK: Okay. So I guess just a couple other comments I want to make. Sounds like there's a little bit of air in the room that a pilot doesn't go through, Vestal, no more jobs created. Sounds like it's a little. But we've heard from you we don't know whether they'll go through with the project if they didn't have pilot. Right? That's this -- this gentleman's question.

63

MR. D'ATTILIO: Yeah, I don't have that 1 2 crystal ball to be able to answer that question 3 conclusively but certainly I think Ken made a -- made the point that the investor does 4 have -- did all alternatives, he's in the 5 business, he has other locations that he could 6 7 actually steer his investor. Should he choose to do so. But I can't -- I can't tell you. 8 MR. DRANCHOK: Okay, so he's strictly 9 10 an investor, he's not a -- I heard last time we 11 were here let's put a face on the residents of 12 the nursing home. It sounds like this 13 gentleman's not one of those, he's strictly an 14 investor. 15 MR. D'ATTILIO: I use the word loosely 16 as an investor; he's an investor operator. 17 MR. DRANCHOK: I just want to make sure 18 I understand. 19 MS. JOHNSON: I'll clarify that. 20 he is very hands-on operator. I speak with him 21 all the time, he's involved, he comes to the 22 facility. He's very interested in having a --23 MR. DRANCHOK: Has he been to one of 24 these meetings?

MS. JOHNSON:

No.

No.

We represent

I'm counting on

2 involved. Two days ago he was asking me to 3 clarify the weather report because he was concerned of what could happen, because he still has the property. 5 6 MR. DRANCHOK: Thank you. 7 MR. MEAGHER: Yes. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think, I think 8 9 I heard that the useful life is 30 years. 10 does that mean that after the first 10 we 11 probably are only going to get 20 more years of taxes? 12 13 MR. MEAGHER: No. It will be taxed as 14 long as it's there and as long as it's 15 operating. 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. As long 17 as it's operable. 18 MR. MEAGHER: What we're saying is an 19 ordinary building construction of this type has 20 a proposed useful life which they say is 30 21 years. Now, that doesn't mean you have to 22 replace it at the end of 30 years. But that's 23 the. And most of these facilities last much

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

longer than that.

1

24

25

Yeah, we represent him. But he's very

more than three times that. 1 2 MS. JOHNSON: Our original building was 3 built in 1966. And with proper upkeep --UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It can last 4 more than 30 years. 5 6 MS. JOHNSON: Absolutely. 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. And the 8 second question, I think the whole reason that 9 I thought that IDA agency exists or the IDA 10 exists was to promote businesses for job 11 growth. So what if those -- that number, that 12 one fifteen, doesn't materialize or it's only 13 there for a short period? Does -- is there any 14 payback there, I mean, because we're doing it 15 so we have these jobs, I think. What happens 16 if they don't -- we don't always have an extra 17 hundred and fifteen. Is there any --18 MR. MEAGHER: We have clawback, what we 19 call clawback provision in the standard pilot 20 allow us to. Again the facts of the situation 21 will determine how many jobs. 22 I can't imagine a lot of your work 23 force is going to be replaced by robots, or.

24

25

MS. JOHNSON: I mean we -- we need --

MR. MEAGHER: It's a fairly hands-on

industry. 1 MS. JOHNSON: We need a minimum of 2 those jobs in order to function. And it's a --3 it's a -- it's health care, you know, it's very hands-on, we can't be replaced by robots. 5 6 MR. MEAGHER: Right. 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. MR. MEAGHER: Yes. 8 9 MR. KRAFT: Phil Kraft. I have a 10 question for you and a question for Miss 11 Johnson. 12 Have you ever in the last 15 years 13 invoked the clawback provisions for any 14 enterprise that --15 MR. MEAGHER: We haven't invoked the 16 clawback because the company went out of 17 business and there was no one to get money. 18 What we do is if someone is not fulfilling 19 their promises, we can deed the property back 20 to them. And once we do that, it automatically 21 becomes 100 percent taxable. We have done that 22 on occasion. 23 You know, we try to work with the 24 company. And a lot of companies come in, not a

lot of but companies come in with the

absolutely best of intentions and for whatever reason they don't make it; their industry may collapse or maybe foreign competition, but they end up not producing the number of jobs that they indicated they would or hoped to produce.

And we have on occasions just deeded the property back.

So the benefit is there then ultimately lost.

MR. KRAFT: And about how many times have you done that? Leased back?

MR. MEAGHER: Three, four. I can't say right offhand but.

MR. D'ATTILIO: I think it also should be known, and we concentrate, we concentrate on the -- on the job creation aspect. I know that's important to every single person in the room, including myself. We all want to have a job. But in the business of economic development it's not just only job creation, it's investment. It's long-term commitment of an investor in the community that's going to invest \$30 million, and conceivably in this case a \$45 million payroll over 10 years. So we -- we have to -- we as professionals in this

business look at the bigger picture that we're getting investment, we're getting jobs, we're getting tax base, we're getting services that are being provided, we're getting an economic engine, if it's manufacturer that's buying, buying products here, selling products outside the area, so there's a certain multiplier effect. So you -- and I don't want to get into too much of the -- the formula of economic development because it does change.

Thank you for that.

But every situation has its own benefit. And if you just focus on jobs alone, as we all know we're -- the American economy is going -- is efficiency through economizing and developing ways to do more with less. We've all experienced that, I'm sure.

In this particular case I don't think you're going to see that. You're probably going to see a requirement for more jobs, more residents occupying the facilities, because I don't know what the numbers are in terms of how many, how many to how many residents, the ratio between residents and service providers, but, but this is a growth industry, believe me.

1 MR. KRAFT: Okay. Thank you.

And for Miss Johnson. We've heard, well, maybe, I do want to preface it by saying what Richard, I want to underline what he said about how I'm absolutely not opposed to a nursing home in Vestal, anywhere in Vestal, including where I live. Especially as I get older. But there are these other questions that I think we all need to have answered.

You spoke about the breakdown in beds, nursing, day care, adult day care, et cetera.

Has the configuration of the facility been designed with flexibility in bed allotments, that is between, for example, Medicare patients, Medicaid patients on one side, and day care on -- adult day care on the other, and I guess have some kind of transitional program in the middle anyway.

MS. JOHNSON: Well, some people in the room saw the -- the architect's, you know, overview.

MR. KRAFT: I wanted to move right into, yeah.

MS. JOHNSON: Well, in the main part of the nursing home that's closest to Sheedy is

configured of four nursing units that have 2 neighborhoods of 20 beds each. Those are all skilled levels but it's -- it's split up into going to being used, now they're all skilled SNF beds but they're one unit, which is 40 beds, is going to be used as rehab beds. people would be short-term stay. Another 40 beds would be used for dementia care, and the rest are traditional skilled. We're actually looking at some other possibilities of what unmet need might be in the community because that's what we did in our old building is to, you know, who's in the hospital, who needs placement, what are we going to specialize in sort of thing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The assisted living does have a separate doorway, or entrance, entranceway, but it's connected through a main corridor in the building. And as well as the assisted living. The adult health program. That is a very attractive feature, based on our experience of just being a freestanding skilled facility, because there's always other parties that you feel like you want to help that are related in one way or another to the particular residents.

Say there's been times where we had spouses of the skilled resident who really needed services but they were -- they were going home at night, you know. They'd come to visit and we would worry about them as much as, you know, we cared what the residents would need. And to us it was kind of a silver lining after this terrible storm of being able to expand our services to say yes, we now have a place to put the spouses, or, you know, another relative; sometimes it's even mother/daughter combinations or different things.

And we also have, you know, the possibility of being able to have more of an outpatient with the adult health care program. They were all things that were needed in our area that we hadn't been able to fulfill before.

MR. KRAFT: Let me clarify. Can you hear me?

I appreciate the need for these services. And your concern about being able to provide some of them. I've got a more down to earth concern, though, which has to do with tax assessment. Has flexibility you built into

this design allowed you potentially to convert 1 some of these day care, adult day care 2 facilities or intermediate care facilities into 3 skilled facilities on an as-needed basis? 4 And I ask that, I'll be very plain about this, 5 because that, of course, would affect the 6 7 assessment of the property. Since it's done on 8 a per bed and per bed type basis. So that's my 9 question. How -- how much have you planned 10 that in the design of the facility? 11 MS. JOHNSON: The -- I would say, we 12 really need the architect here to know 13 all the code exactly, but the assisted living 14 in appearance would meet the qualifications for 15 skilled beds if we ever needed to. 16 MR. KRAFT: They would. 17 MS. JOHNSON: I believe they would. 18 The assisted living con -- or the 19 adult day health care program, that wouldn't 20 really meet the configuration for additional 21 skilled beds but possibly other outpatient

One other thing that was discussed in an earlier meeting was whether or not we could do outpatient rehab. You know, I think

22

23

24

25

services.

1	the therapy department and the way the
2	entrances were built is that that would be a
3	possibility down the road. Adult day care
4	health or whatever. Three tier.
5	I'm pretty sure the assisted living
6	would if it doesn't exactly meet the code
7	right now it would be easy to configure it.
8	MR. KRAFT: Thank you.
9	So if I may just follow up with the
10	folks from the IDA; if for whatever reason
11	market requirements or change in plan some of
12	these beds are reconfigured, the assessment is
13	not the business of the IDA, it's strictly a
14	matter
15	MR. MEAGHER: Tax assessor.
16	MR. KRAFT: between the Town and the
17	assessor.
18	MR. MEAGHER: It's the tax assessor.
19	MR. KRAFT: I see. Thank you.
20	MR. MEAGHER: Yes.
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ms. Johnson too,
22	will you be taking Medicare and Medicaid
23	patients?
24	MS. JOHNSON: Yes. Just as we do now.
25	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.

1	MR. MEAGHER: Anyone further?
2	(No response)
3	MR. MEAGHER: There being no further
4	statements, I'm going to draw this hearing to a
5	close. Thank you all.
6	As I stated in the beginning, we have a
7	court reporter. A transcript will be prepared,
8	and all of your remarks will be presented to
9	the board members of the Industrial Development
10	Agency for their review. Prior to making a
11	decision on this project.
12	Thank you all very much. We really
13	appreciated your input.
14	(Proceedings were adjourned at
15	8:30 p.m.)
16	* * * *
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>
2	
3	
4	STATE OF NEW YORK
5	COUNTY OF BROOME
6	
7	
8	I, Ruth I. Lynch, RMR, do hereby certify that I
9	reported in machine shorthand the foregoing pages of
10	the above-styled cause, and that they were prepared
11	using computer-aided transcription by me personally
12	and constitute a true and accurate record of the
13	proceedings;
14	I further certify that I am not an attorney or
15	counsel of any parties, nor a relative or employee of
16	any attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor
17	financially interested in the action.
18	
19	By
20	Ruth I. Lynch Registered Professional Reporter Registered Merit Reporter
21	NY/PA Notary Public
22	
23	
24	
25	